

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/810,005	03/16/2001	Zhongze Wang	303.747US1	7517
7	590 03/24/2003			
David C. Peterson Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth P.A. P. O. Box 2938			EXAMINER	
			GEBREMARIAM, SAMUEL A	
Minneapolis, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2811		
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		un ·			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/810,005	WANG ET AL.			
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Samuel A Gebremariam	2811			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) $\boxtimes$ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 F</u>	ebruary 2003 .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48 and 54</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48 and 54</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a):  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No			
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priori</li> <li>application from the International Burn</li> <li>See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•				
a) The translation of the foreign language prov 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2811

1.

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim R j ctions - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 14, 21, 27; 28, 35, 41, 42 and 54, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It is not clear how the sides of the gate dielectric remain exposed without being oxidized. Furthermore it is not clear how the exposed surface of the source/drain region remains unoxidized.

### **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the complete process step of reducing the channel length while the side of the gate dielectric exposed must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2811

## Claim R j ctions - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1, 2, 5 and 6, in so far in compliance of 35 U.S.C. 112 and as best understood by the examiner are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yu US patent No. 6,268,253.

Regarding claim 1, Yu teaches a method of reducing a channel length in a transistor, comprising: forming a gate dielectric layer (204) on a semiconductor substrate (102); coupling a barrier layer (206) to the gate dielectric layer, wherein the barrier layer prevents oxide undergrowth; forming a gate (208) on top of the barrier layer, the gate having sides, and an effective channel length defined by the sides; and an amount of overlap between the sides of the gate and a pair of source/drain regions (see fig. 7) and oxidizing the gate wherein a portion of the sides of the gate are converted to an oxide (212) and an effective channel length of the gate is reduced (figs. 4 and 5).

Since silicon nitride is a well-known barrier layer, layer (206) inherently prevents oxide undergrowth.

Art Unit: 2811

Regarding claim 2, Yu teaches the entire claimed process of claim 1 above including coupling a barrier layer to the gate dielectric layer comprises coupling a silicon nitride (SiN) layer (206) to the gate dielectric layer 204 (fig. 4).

Regarding claims 5 and 6, Yu teaches the entire claimed process of claim 1 above including the gate dielectric layer on a semiconductor substrate comprises forming a gate oxide and gate dielectric layer (204) on a semiconductor substrate (102) (fig. 4).

Claims 7, 8,14, 15,18, 19 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Xiang et al. US patent No. 5,866,473.

Regarding claims 7 and 14, Xiang teaches a method of forming transistor, comprising: forming a first source/drain region (212) and a second source/drain region (216) in a semiconductor substrate (204); forming a gate dielectric layer (202) on a semiconductor substrate (204); coupling a barrier layer (206) to the gate dielectric layer, wherein the barrier layer prevents oxide undergrowth; forming a gate (208) on top of the barrier layer, the gate having sides, and an effective channel length defined by the sides; and oxidizing the gate after all source/drain regions have been formed, wherein a portion of the sides of the gate are converted to an oxide (220) and an effective channel length of the gate is reduced (figs. 2A-2F).

Nitrogen doped layers (210) and (214) form silicon nitride layer that inherently prevent oxide undergrowth.

Regarding claims 8 and 15, Xiang teaches the entire claimed process of claims 7 and 14 above including the coupling barrier layer to the gate dielectric layer comprises coupling silicon nitride to the gate layer.

Regarding claims 11, 12, 18 and 19, Xiang teaches the entire claimed process of claims 7 and 14 above including the gate dielectric layer on a semiconductor substrate comprises forming a gate oxide layer and gate dielectric layer (202) on a semiconductor substrate (204) (col. 2, line 43-61).

Regarding claim 54, Xiang teaches the entire claimed process of claim 7 above including a transistor formed by the method of claim 7 (fig. 2A-2F).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yu in view of Gardner et al. US patent No. 6,005,274.

Regarding claim 3, Yu teaches substantially the entire claimed process of claim 1 above except explicitly stating that coupling silicon nitride (SiN) layer to the gate dielectric layer comprises remote plasma nitride processing the gate layer to form a silicon nitride (SiN) layer.

Gardner teaches using remote plasma nitride process to form the gate barrier layer 16 (SiN) (col. 3 line 41-56).

Art Unit: 2811

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate remote plasma nitride process taught by Gardner in the method of Yu in order to prevent the migration of undesirable elements from one region of the semiconductor device to other regions.

Claim 4, in so far in compliance with 35 U.S.C 112 and as best understood by the examiner is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yu in view of Xiang.

Regarding claim 4, Yu teaches substantially the entire claimed process of claim 1 above except explicitly stating coupling a barrier layer to the gate dielectric layer comprises composite oxidation processing to form a barrier layer.

It is conventional and also taught by Xiang forming barrier layer by composite oxidation process of gate dielectric layer (col. 2, line 43-61).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form barrier layer by composite oxidation of the gate dielectric layer taught by Xiang in the process of Yu in order to form a barrier layer.

Claims 9 and 16, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Xiang in view of Gardner.

Regarding claims 9 and 16, Xiang teaches substantially the entire claimed process of claims 7 and 14 above except explicitly stating that coupling silicon nitride (SiN) layer to the gate dielectric layer comprises remote plasma nitride processing to form a silicon nitride (SiN) layer.

Art Unit: 2811

Gardner teaches using remote plasma nitride process to form the gate barrier layer 16 (SiN) (col. 3 line 41-56).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate remote plasma nitride process taught by Gardner in the method of Xiang in order to prevent the migration of undesirable elements from one region of the semiconductor device to other regions.

Claims 21-23, 25, 26-30, 30, 32, 33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Xiang in view of Gardner.

Regarding claims 21-23, 25, 26, 28-30, 32 and 33, Xiang teaches substantially the entire claimed process of claims 7, 8, 9, 11, 12,14, 18 and 19 above except explicitly stating forming a number of transistors on a semiconductor substrate and electrically connecting the number of transistors.

It is conventional to form more than one transistor and electrically connecting the transistors in a useful MOS device.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make more than one transistor and connecting the transistors in order to form a semiconductor device that could be integrated in an IC chip.

Regarding claims 27 and 34, Xiang teaches substantially the entire claimed process of claims 7, 8, 9, 11, 12,14, 18, 19 and 21 above except explicitly stating forming a first source/drain extension adjacent the first source/drain region and a second source/drain extension adjacent the second source/drain region.

Art Unit: 2811

It is conventional and also taught by Gardner forming source/drain extension region adjacent source/drain region (fig. 3) (col. 5, line 66-, col. 6, line 9).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form source/drain extension taught by Gardner in the process of Xiang in order to suppress hot electron effect.

Claims 35-37, 39, 40-44 and 46-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Xiang in view of Gardner and in further view of Sung et al. US patent No. 6,008,085.

Regarding claims 35-37, 39, 40-44, 46-48, Xiang teaches substantially the entire claimed process of claims 7, 14, 21, 27 and 28, above except explicitly stating that the forming a number of word lines coupled to the gates of the number of transistors; and forming a number of bit lines coupled to the first source/drain region of the number of transistors.

Sung teaches word lines (10) coupled to the gates of the number of transistors; and forming a number of bit lines (26) coupled to the source/drain region of the number of transistors (fig. 9).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the process of forming a number word lines coupled to the gates of the transistors and forming a number of bit lines connected to the source/drain region taught by Sung in the method of Xiang and Gardner in order to integrate the semiconductor device with other part of an IC chip.

Art Unit: 2811

Claims 10, 17, 24, 31, 38 and 45, in so far in compliance with 35 U.S.C 112 and as best understood by the examiner are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Xiang.

Regarding claims 10, 17, 24, 31, 38 and 45, Xiang teaches substantially the entire claimed process of claims 1 and 4 above including coupling a barrier layer to the gate dielectric layer comprises composite oxidation processing to form a barrier layer.

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 8/29/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Yu does not show oxidizing the gate with sides of the gate dielectric exposed, where portion of the sides of the gate are converted to an oxide. Figures 6 and 7 of Yu's structure clearly shows that the gate oxidized with sides of the gate dielectric exposed.

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel Admassu Gebremariam whose telephone number is 703 305 1913. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4: 30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (703) 305-7646. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Page 10

Art Unit: 2811

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Samuel Admassu Gebremariam March 20, 2003

TOM THOMAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800